## The Structure and Properties of Tungsten Oxide on Silica and on Alumina

High-resolution transmission electron microscopy (TEM) has been used to determine the size of metal clusters on oxide supports (1-3). When chemisorption studies are coupled with electron microscopy, it is sometimes possible to assign "raft" configurations to these clusters and establish the thickness of the "raft" to be one layer (2, 4). In an analogous fashion the morphology of WO<sub>3</sub> on SiO<sub>2</sub> was investigated by TEM combined with chemisorption of *n*butylamine on the exposed W<sup>6+</sup> acid sites.

A WO<sub>3</sub> on SiO<sub>2</sub> (300 m<sup>2</sup>/g) sample was prepared by the incipient wetness impregnation method using ammonium *meta*tungstate followed by drying at 110°C and calcination at 500°C. For tungsten concentrations on SiO<sub>2</sub> below 5 wt%, the electron micrographs show clusters of WO<sub>3</sub>, a polymeric tungsten oxide phase, with a narrow distribution of diameters about a mean of 1.2 nm (Fig. 1). Thus, it is clear that the 12-unit ammonium metatungstate polyanion has decomposed during drying and calcining to give much smaller WO<sub>3</sub> clusters. This observation is a unique example of a supported oxide with a cluster size distribution that is in the range of well dispersed noble metal catalysts (4, 5).

A one-to-one correspondence of the acid sites with WO<sub>3</sub> content, i.e., one acid site for *every* WO<sub>3</sub> introduced (below 5 wt%), was established by chemisorption of *n*-butylamine on samples of WO<sub>3</sub> on SiO<sub>2</sub> (6–9). The acid sites must therefore be present in the small WO<sub>3</sub> clusters or in the SiO<sub>2</sub> support immediately surrounding the WO<sub>3</sub> clusters.

For >5 and <20 wt% WO<sub>3</sub> on SiO<sub>2</sub> a bimodal distribution of cluster sizes was observed. For example, the number density and size of the small clusters observed on the surface of a 20 wt% WO<sub>3</sub> on SiO<sub>2</sub> sam-



FIG. 1. Particle size distribution of 2.3 wt% tungsten oxide on silica.



FIG. 2. ESCA  $W_{4f}/Si_{2S}$  intensity ratio as a function of the WO<sub>3</sub> content of WO<sub>3</sub> on SiO<sub>2</sub>.

ple were similar to those seen on a 5 wt% sample. Three quarters of the WO<sub>3</sub> in the 20% sample, however, was present as 10–15 nm particles as observed by X-ray powder scans and electron microscopy. This bimodal distribution was substantiated by ESCA W/Si intensity ratio studies (10, 11) as a function of WO<sub>3</sub> content. We observed a linear increase of the  $W_{4f_{7/2}}$ -to-Si<sub>2S</sub> intensity ratio with tungsten content up to 5 wt% WO<sub>3</sub> and then the ratio deviated considerably from linearity with increasing tungsten content (see Fig. 2). The number of acid sites also increased linearly with WO<sub>3</sub> con-

tent until the large clusters began to form (see Fig. 3). After that point, the number of acid sites remained constant, independent of the  $WO_3$  content.

The bimodal distribution of WO<sub>3</sub> clusters on SiO<sub>2</sub> above 5 wt% WO<sub>3</sub> content suggests that there are a limited number of sites on the SiO<sub>2</sub> surface which can interact with small  $WO_3$  clusters and that, above that limit, WO<sub>3</sub> aggregates into a crystalline  $WO_3$  phase. The strong interaction of  $WO_3$ and an Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> surface has recently been reported (12). This interaction may result in the formation of unique materials when  $WO_3$  and  $Al_2O_3$  are simultaneously supported on SiO<sub>2</sub>. These supported mixed oxides were made by coimpregnation aluminum nitrate and ammonium of meta-tungstate in water by the incipient wetness method. The samples were then dried at 120°C and calcined at 500°C for 16 hr. For these samples there was a linear relationship between the W-to-Si ESCA intensity ratio and the tungsten content for all levels of W loading (see Fig. 4). Also, TEM failed to detect WO<sub>3</sub> clusters observed when  $WO_3$  alone was supported on  $SiO_2$ . This was the case even at high WO<sub>3</sub> and low Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> loadings. Apparently, alumina incorporation onto the silica surface results in a large number of sites where WO<sub>3</sub> interacts so strongly that formation of clusters or particles of WO<sub>1</sub> is precluded. Distinct analogies are apparent for these  $WO_3$ -



FIG. 3. Relationship of number of acid centers ( $\mu$ mole/g) measured by butylamine titration and the WO<sub>3</sub> concentration ( $\mu$ mole/g) for WO<sub>3</sub> on SiO<sub>2</sub>.



FIG. 4. ESCA  $W_{4f}/Si_{2S}$  intensity ratio as a function of the WO<sub>3</sub> content of WO<sub>3</sub> and  $Al_2O_3$  mixed oxides dispersed onto a SiO<sub>2</sub> support. Total supported mixed oxide content (WO<sub>3</sub> +  $Al_2O_3$ ) of 20 wt%.

Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> on SiO<sub>2</sub> systems and WO<sub>3</sub> on  $\gamma$ -Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> itself (vide infra).

High-resolution transmission electron microscopy studies of tungsten oxide on y- $Al_2O_3$  (180 m<sup>2</sup>/g) show no detectable structure of the supported phase even at high surface coverage (25 wt% WO<sub>3</sub>). Similar results have been reported by Delannay (13) for 15 wt% MoO<sub>3</sub> on Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>. When a 10 wt% WO<sub>3</sub> on Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> sample was steamed in air (air sparged through water at 500 cm<sup>3</sup>/ min) at 900°C to reduce the surface area to 70 m<sup>2</sup>/g no agglomerated WO<sub>3</sub> phase or small clusters could be detected by TEM. Ten weight percent WO<sub>3</sub> represents about monolayer coverage of the 70 m<sup>2</sup>/g of surface area. An ESCA study of the W surface concentration showed a linear increase in the W/Al ratio with W content up to 10 wt% for a series of steamed samples. This result suggests an increase in WO<sub>3</sub> surface concentration as the alumina surface area collapses. Apparently, there is a very strong interaction between WO<sub>3</sub> and  $Al_2O_3$  (12) which precludes cluster formation which is so predominant in the case of WO<sub>3</sub> on SiO<sub>2</sub> even at low WO<sub>3</sub> concentrations. Even in the case of a 25 wt% sample treated under

analogous conditions where the surface area was again reduced to 70 m<sup>2</sup>/g no small clusters could be detected. Large 15 nm particles of WO<sub>3</sub> were formed as the support surface area collapsed. The final state of this 25% sample was a bimodal distribution of a highly dispersed amorphous phase

and large particles of crystalline WO<sub>3</sub>. EXAFS studies to determine the structure of WO<sub>3</sub> on SiO<sub>2</sub> and on  $\gamma$ -Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> supports are in progress. On a 2.3 wt% WO<sub>3</sub> on SiO<sub>2</sub> sample, with 1.2 nm WO<sub>3</sub> clusters observed by TEM, both W-O bonds and a W-O-W bond have been identified. For WO<sub>3</sub> on  $\gamma$ -Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>, W–O bonds have also been identified, but no W-O-W bond has been observed. When the EXAFS and TEM results are considered together, it appears that isolated or randomly positioned WO<sub>3</sub> units are "locked" into the alumina hydroxyl structure of the Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>. Even a severe steam treatment of WO<sub>3</sub> on  $\gamma$ -Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>, which causes significant collapse of the alumina surface area, fails to form detectable three-dimensional particles unless the monolayer coverage limit is exceeded. In recent work by Hercules and co-workers (14) monolayer coverage of WO<sub>3</sub> on  $\gamma$ -Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> was determined to occur at a loading of 24 wt% WO<sub>3</sub>. Above 15 wt% WO<sub>3</sub> Raman spectroscopy studies suggests the WO<sub>3</sub> to be present as "an octahedral WO<sub>3</sub>like interaction species" (14). Our EXAFS and TEM results are not necessarily inconsistent with this proposal as the "octahedral WO<sub>3</sub>-like species" may not be detectable by the present techniques, or this species may not be a "polymeric" phase.

## ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The authors would like to acknowledge the excellent experimental work by N. C. Dispenziere, Jr. in the course of these studies.

## REFERENCES

- 1. Prestridge, E. B., and Yates, D. J. C., Nature (London) 234, 345 (1971).
- Yates, D. J. C., Murrell, L. L., and Prestridge, E. B., J. Catal. 57, 41 (1979).

- Baker, R. T. K., Prestridge, E. B., and Garten, R. L., J. Catal. 59, 293 (1979).
- Yates, D. J. C., Murrell, L. L., and Prestridge, E. B., *in* "Growth and Properties of Metal Clusters" (J. Bourdon, ed.), Elsevier, Amsterdam, 1980.
- 5. Yates, D. J. C., and Sinfelt, J. H., J. Catal. 8, 348 (1967).
- 6. The *n*-butylamine adsorption technique employed dry box techniques using the Benesi method as reported previously (7) combined with ultrasonic treatment of the samples ( $65^{\circ}$ C) for 10 min between butylamine additions to ensure equilibration (8). Dicinnamalacetone, benzalacetophenone, and anthraquinone indicator solutions were added to small aliquots of the titrated slurry to establish the titration endpoint. This procedure has been shown in our laboratory to provide acid center distributions very similar to those obtained by the standard Benesi method (9). Silica had zero acidity as measured by the above series of indicators.
- 7. Reitsma, H. J., and Boelhouwer, C., J. Catal. 33, 39 (1974).
- 8. Bertolacini, R. J., Anal. Chem. 35, 599 (1963).
- 9. Benesi, H. A., J. Amer. Chem. Soc. 78, 5490 (1956).
- Angevine, J. P., Delgass, W. N., and Vartuli, J. C., Proc. Sixth Int. Conr. Catal. 2, 611 (1976).

- 11. Fung, S. C., J. Catal. 58, 454 (1979).
- Thomas, R., Kerkkof, F. P. J. M., Moulijn, A. J., Medema, J., and DeBeer, V. H. J., J. Catal. 61, 559 (1980).
- 13. Delannay, F., Catal. Rev-Sci. Eng. 22, 141 (1980).
- 14. Salvate, L., Jr., Makovsky, L. E., Stencel, J. M., Brown, F. R., and Hercules, D. M., J. Phys. Chem. 85, 3700 (1981).
  - L. L. MURRELL D. C. GRENOBLE R. T. K. BAKER E. B. PRESTRIDGE S. C. FUNG R. R. CHIANELLI S. P. CRAMER

Corporate Research Exxon Research and Engineering Co. P. O. Box 45 Linden, New Jersey 07036

Received February 24, 1982; revised August 23, 1982